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This Advisory Services panel was organized to provide strategic advice to the Charlotte Housing Authority (CHA) regarding the disposition and future use of the Strawn site on South Boulevard, just south of downtown Charlotte. The sponsor provided the panel with a series of questions; in essence, the CHA asked the panel to suggest appropriate future uses for the subject site, given the site constraints, the neighborhood opportunities, and the CHA’s dual mission of maintaining fiscal sustainability and providing safe and affordable housing to various members of the city’s population.

The panel first studied and discussed the information provided by the sponsor in the briefing book. Following a sponsor briefing, the panel toured the project area and conducted a series of stakeholder interviews. The panelists then met to debate the issues and frame recommendations. The panel reported to the sponsor the next morning, followed by an extensive question-and-answer period.

The Site and the Neighborhood

The Strawn site consists of 16.67 acres on the southeast side of South Boulevard in the Dilworth community. It contains three basic land uses: a 190-unit multifamily structure that houses seniors, 121 cottage units that contain housing for both able-bodied seniors and seniors with disabilities, and the offices for the Charlotte Housing Authority. The site abuts South Boulevard, a corridor currently experiencing huge growth and revitalization because of its proximity to downtown Charlotte and the recently opened light-rail line (the LYNX system). Two LYNX stations are located within walking distance of the Strawn site. The Bland Street Station is located only 1,000 linear feet from the closest residential structure on the Strawn site.

The site is located in the northeast portions of the Dilworth neighborhood. The historic Dilworth neighborhood is one of the most prominent and successful housing revitalization areas in the city. Dilworth has a variety of older homes in traditional colonial and bungalow-style architecture. A classic gentrification area, Dilworth boasts some of the city’s best schools, enlightened neighborhood involvement, and intense curiosity regarding not only the Strawn site but also the other redevelopment issues confronting the South Street area. For the last 20 years, Dilworth has been one of the most popular locations for young profession-
als and families; it has excellent access to services and downtown.

The Questions

The ULI panel was provided with four questions that acted as the scope for its assignment. The focus of the four questions was

- Value;
- Intensity and density;
- Disposition approach; and
- The tower.

These questions were interrelated and the panel chose to answer them with a series of land use and policy recommendations, taking the perspective that CHA must ask itself the following question: What is the development objective for the Strawn site?

Summary of Recommendations

The panel recommends developing the Strawn site into an economically, socially, and environmentally sustainable mixed-income community. All development must address and meet the needs of the current residents, the adjacent community, and the long-term goals of the CHA. To accomplish this goal, the panel recommends that the CHA take the following steps:

- Divide the site into zones for evaluation, planning, and decisions on a variety of financial, land use, and public responsibility issues.
- Retain portions of the site to ensure that the CHA meets the broader social goals of its charter.
- Select and engage an experienced development adviser to assist staff in (a) overseeing the design and development program, (b) overseeing the selection of development team for each zone, and (c) managing the disposition of each zone to achieve the CHA’s development objective.
Observations and Recommendations

The CHA must begin to think about the Strawn site as a neighborhood, not as a project. For too long, the Strawn site has been seen as a blemish on what is otherwise a classic success story for neighborhood revitalization. The site design is inward looking and contributes to the sense of isolation from both Dilworth and the South Boulevard corridor.

Guiding Principles

Three guiding principles helped the panel’s thought process as the members debated alternative land uses. Each principle played off the other as a new paradigm for the site emerged.

Connectivity

Pedestrian connectivity is important to the successful redevelopment of the site. The perimeter sidewalks should provide excellent access to the commercial corridor of South Boulevard and access to the light-rail system for residents of both the site and the greater Dilworth neighborhood. Furthermore, the pedestrian systems through the internal part of the site should be used as a vehicle to open the site to the greater public and to better integrate the site socially and economically to Dilworth.

To a lesser extent, good vehicular access should be considered, with a focus on providing cross movement from Cleveland Street to Caldwell Street.

Mixed Income

A CHA goal should be to have a well-stratified mixed-income neighborhood, with different income-level housing opportunities in proximity to each other. The panel was amazed at the unique position that the Strawn site occupies. Compared to other locations in Charlotte and other cities with similar public housing, the Strawn site has characteristics that are at once beneficial and laudable.

The site is located between two successful, high-value areas, Dilworth and the South Street corridor; its residents have access to some of the best public schools in the city; it has good access to public transportation; it has favorable topography; it is a relatively large assemblage of parcels located close to the downtown business district. Usually public housing sites would be lucky to have one or two of these attributes. It is no wonder that the development community sees this site as an opportunity.

With the site’s favorable conditions, redeveloping with a variety of unit styles, ownership types, and income levels will be a valuable experiment that could provide a model for other places in the city and around the country for successful mixed-income neighborhoods. For that reason, the panel felt that CHA must retain some of the site to be part of this potential success story.

Good Design

The panel noted that an important part of any successful revitalization project is good design. Everything from building locations, landscape, signage, and hardscape to architecture, street furniture, and wayfinding will affect the feel, convenience, and perceived safety of the site. These characteristics in turn will determine (a) whether residents (both subsidized and market rate) enjoy their living experience and (b) whether residents from the adjacent neighborhoods feel comfortable entering the site.

One of the panel’s key recommendations is redevelopment of a portion of the site into a “neighborhood green” extending from South Boulevard to Templeton Avenue in an L-shaped pattern. This green will act as the central organizing feature for the entire site, providing (a) pedestrian connections through the site from Dilworth to South Boulevard and the transit stops, (b) recreational space for residents and neighbors, and (c) a frame...
housing for seniors and persons with disabilities in scattered cottages in pinwheels. How can the re-development of the site strike a balance between mixed-income and mixed-use development? What is the most appropriate mix of uses and housing types? What is the best design approach in relation to adjacent properties, particularly on the edges of the site? The panel recommends the following:

• Develop a mixed-use, mixed-income neighborhood using the zone approach outlined below.

• CHA should select and engage an experienced development adviser to (a) oversee the design and development program, (b) oversee the selection of a development team for each zone, and (c) manage the disposition of each zone to achieve the CHA’s development objective.

The Tower

Should the tower stay, or should it go? How does the tower relate to the highest and best use for the site? If it stays, is housing for seniors the best use for the structure? How should the parking for

for the various zones mentioned in the panel’s recommendations. The panel believes that a well-designed neighborhood green can provide a physical as well as a social and psychological common space for interaction among the mixed-income groups.

Issues and Solutions

The questions provided to the panel were inter-related, focusing on the future use of the property and on how the CHA could best leverage the property to meet its overall organizational goals. Each of the following sections addresses the panel’s observations and recommendations in light of the CHA’s questions.

Value

In determining the highest and best use for the 16.67-acre site, how can the value of the site be maximized? If CHA can identify appropriate replacement housing sites for current residents, what is the value of the site without affordable housing? If replacement sites cannot be acquired cost-effectively and within board policy, what is the appropriate mix of affordable to market units?

Panel’s Observations: The panel felt that the greater goal of providing the city’s less fortunate citizens with good housing was the primary purpose of the CHA. As noted previously, the site has unique attributes that would make most public housing agencies envious. The panel believes the appropriate application of land uses, types, and densities, with a mixed format of ownership (some being retained by the CHA) is the best “real” value for the city and the CHA.

An appropriate redevelopment scheme, including some increased density and careful coordination of existing residents, should allow the CHA to provide housing on site.

Panel’s Recommendation: Develop a mixed-use, mixed-income neighborhood using the zone approach as outlined below.

Intensity and Density

The site has two transportation-oriented development zoning designations with an existing 190-unit tower of housing for seniors and 121 units of
the structure be addressed? What direction should the tower feature as its primary address?

**Panel’s Observations:** The existing tower should be retained and renovated. The CHA should analyze the cost of combining single-room units into a series of one- and two-bedroom units and weigh the resulting loss of units against the availability of additional units proposed in the zone system.

**Panel’s Recommendations:** Develop a mixed-use, mixed-income neighborhood using the zone approach outlined below. Retain and remodel the existing tower (pending the outcome of the cost/benefit study), and build one or more new affordable towers. To the extent possible, retain the component for seniors as a separate structure.

**Planning and Design**

The panel divided the site into five separate zones with specific ranges of land use, unit types, and density. The panel believes this approach makes the planning and consideration of the entire 16.67 acres more digestible and allows a phasing technique that could keep existing residents on the site, rather than temporarily relocating them to an area outside their neighborhood.

While the one-and-a-half-day advisory panel process is intense and thorough in its deliberations, specific site issues and local concerns may exist that have not been considered while the panel was on site. The panel has suggested certain conceptual unit types and density ranges for each zone. It is possible that the final specifics can only be determined after a more thorough site analysis is conducted by the CHA.

**Zone 1**

This area is located along Euclid Avenue, roughly from Butternut Court to Templeton Avenue, equivalent to the existing lot depths along Euclid Avenue. The panel estimated this area as approximately three acres in size. The panel recommends the following:

- Develop single-family detached, townhouse, duplex, or triplex residential units compatible with Dilworth neighborhood architecture.
- Achieve densities of three to eight dwelling units per acre, for a total of nine to 24 units.

**Zone 2**

This area is located along Templeton Avenue and Caldwell Drive, north of Butternut Drive and south of the existing parking area for the tower. This area would include most of the newly proposed neighborhood green. The panel estimated this area at approximately five acres. The panel recommends the following:

- Develop walk-up, mid-rise, and high-rise buildings.
- Use rental and fee ownership types of units.
- Create an affordable, workforce, and market-rate mix.
- Provide family housing and housing for seniors.
- Center the development on major open space.
- Achieve densities of 25 to 55 dwelling units per acre for a total of 125 to 275 units.

**Zone 3**

This area is located south of the tower and west of the proposed neighborhood green. The panel estimated this area at approximately 1.5 acres. The panel recommends the following:

- Build walk-up and mid-rise buildings.
- Use rental and ownership units.
- Create an affordable, workforce, and market-rate mix.
- Provide family housing and housing for seniors.
- Center development on major open space.
- Target densities of ten to 30 dwelling units per acre for a total of 15 to 45 units.

**Zone 4**

This area is located adjacent to the existing tower between Cleveland Avenue and South Caldwell Street. It includes most of the site’s current parking as well as the recreational center. It also includes a portion of the proposed neighborhood
green. The panel estimated this area at approximately six acres.

The panel recommends the following:

• Complete additional analysis to determine cost-effectiveness of adaptive use of the tower.
• Incorporate a new community center.
• Develop an additional tower.
• Allow 321 to 521 units.

Zone 5

This area is located adjacent to the existing tower along South Boulevard. It also includes a portion of the proposed neighborhood green. The panel estimated this area at approximately 1.2 acres.

The panel recommends the following:

• Develop professional offices and services focused on the needs of the residents and broader Dilworth community.
• Include street-level, neighborhood-serving retail.
• Consider connectivity to and from transit and the neighborhood.
• Explore redevelopment options for the adjacent shopping center.
• Consider a three- to eight-story building including structured parking (150,000 square feet total office and retail).

Next Steps

The CHA needs to continue the dialogue with interested parties and to rigorously examine options to sell, hold, lease, joint venture, or use other methods for development. To help buoy this process, the panel suggests that the CHA initiate a Request for Qualifications or other approved selection process to select and engage an experienced development adviser. This adviser would focus on the necessary rigorous examination as well as oversee the design and development program and the selection of a development team for each zone to achieve CHA’s development objectives.
Conclusion

The Strawn site has many attributes not typical of property owned by a public housing authority. The success of the Dilworth neighborhood, the growth of downtown Charlotte, the excellent school district, the revitalization of the South Boulevard corridor, the location of nearby transit, and the relatively large size of the parcel all combine to make this site a truly unique land use opportunity.

The interest of the development community confirms this position. The panel recommends that this site become a mixed-income community with a variety of uses and housing types that accommodate economically, socially, and environmentally sustainable development. It also must meet the needs of the current residents and the adjacent community, and the long-term goals of the CHA.

The panel believes that the CHA needs to be strategic and sensible about the future disposition of the site. The panel hopes its recommendations provide the CHA, the nearby community, and the current residents of the site with some options that will move all concerned in the right direction.
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