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A seven-mile stretch of Class I railroad, owned by CSX Corporation, runs through a portion of Washington, D.C., from the Potomac River to the Maryland state line. The rail line is a principal freight rail line for the eastern United States. Parts of this line provide passenger service to Union Station as well. One of the original railroads established in the United States, this rail line provided a link between the cities of Washington and Baltimore; some of the right-of-way dates back to the 1840s. The rail line passes through or near some of the most dense commercial and residential areas in Washington, D.C., including the Portals complex, L’Enfant Plaza, the Southeast Freeway corridor, the Anacostia waterfront, and the Kenilworth Avenue corridor.

As part of a larger security study by the DDOT and NCPC, realignment of the freight railroad around Washington has been considered. The abandonment of the rail corridor would lead to a substantially different land use scenario. In addition to making acreage available for development and reuse, the elimination of the rail line would open up access to vast tracts of public and private land along the Anacostia waterfront.

The Panel’s Assignment

The panel’s assignment was to provide a fresh perspective on the opportunities and challenges presented by the redevelopment of the rail corridor. The sponsors divided the study area into four geographic segments:

- Monumental Core;
- Capitol Hill neighborhood;
- Anacostia waterfront; and
- East of the Anacostia River.

The Panel’s Process

Panelists first reviewed briefing materials provided by the sponsor. The materials included demographic information, market information, the organizational structure of the various government bodies, and a discussion of concerns about freight rail traffic, as well as a detailed outline of the sponsor’s expectations of the panel.

The panel was held December 11–13, 2006. Upon arrival, panel members met with a team of representatives from the DDOT, the NCPC, and consultants looking at the larger issue of the rail realignment. The panel was given a field tour of the rail corridor and also met with a diverse group of government officials including planners, transportation engineers, and waterfront development specialists. The panel deliberated on the various materials, presentations, and interviews and on Wednesday, December 13, presented a summary of its observations and recommendations.
Some overarching issues regarding the market and planning considerations are provided here as precursors to the recommendations for the geographic segments.

Overall Market Observations

The market potential for most of the three segments west of the Anacostia River is favorable to redevelopment if the right-of-way is abandoned. Parcels adjacent to the right-of-way in these three segments will benefit from improved access, reduced noise, and the elimination of potential security issues and accidents. It is likely that market values will increase consistent with values in other portions of Washington, D.C. In addition, the redevelopment of the Anacostia waterfront will be accelerated with the removal of the barrier of the railroad.

The market potential for the segment east of the Anacostia River will also be improved, albeit at a slower pace because of the historical issues of economic investment in and perception of the Anacostia area—although these perceptions are changing. Again, removal of the railroad barrier will help improve pedestrian and vehicular movements and will be beneficial to redevelopment.

Overall Planning Observations

The freight railroad realignment will have a positive impact by making it possible to reconnect and strengthen neighborhoods, create access to key destinations, enhance view corridors into the monumental core, and contribute to the development of a world-class public waterfront.

Two planning documents, Extending the Legacy and The Anacostia Waterfront Initiative, provide direction for the redevelopment of the corridor. Extending the Legacy discusses five themes:

- Building on the historic L’Enfant and McMillan plans, which are the foundation of modern Washington;
- Unifying the city and the monumental core, with the Capitol at the center;
- Using new memorials, museums, and other public buildings to stimulate economic development;
- Integrating the Potomac and Anacostia rivers into the city’s public life and protecting the Mall and the adjacent historic landscape from future buildings; and
- Developing a comprehensive, flexible, and convenient transportation system that eliminates barriers and improves movement within the city.

The Anacostia Waterfront Initiative also offers five themes:

- A clean and active river;
- Breaking down barriers and gaining access;
- A great riverfront park system;
- Cultural destinations of distinct character; and
- Building strong waterfront neighborhoods.

Specific Observations and Recommendations

Recommendations and observations for each of the four geographic segments of the study are presented below. In terms of planning and implementation, the panel believes that each segment can be handled separately and nonsequentially. However, a project of this size should use an overarching policy document and an implementation strategy that builds on the success and character of the Legacy plan.
Monumental Core: Observations

- The area is a major employment zone and would be attractive for office users, either public or private. Although the submarket has been somewhat soft recently, as the area develops amenities and an identity around those amenities (as at the Southwest waterfront), it should become more attractive to private tenants as well as government tenants.

- Decking over Maryland Avenue will provide some redevelopment opportunities along the roadway, at some existing vacant sites and some underused sites fronting the new boulevard. However, it may be necessary to assemble some parcels to create larger development sites or engage public participation to make the decking financially feasible, particularly where the redevelopment sites are smaller.

- The chief value created in the Monumental Core will be alongside the newly created decked roadways, which could be placed several feet lower than would be required if rail freight were to continue through this section.

- Decking over Maryland Avenue will make it more difficult to lower the roadway to ground level in future, should the passenger rail be realigned. The newest projects will be those that most recently constructed decking and therefore will be those most reluctant to remove it.

- Given the unlikelihood of realigning the passenger rail and removing the decking, the eventual redevelopment of the L’Enfant Plaza area and the end of the useful life of the 10th Street decking in the next few decades could provide an opportunity to lower the height of this roadway to more closely match the other decking in the area. Doing so would reduce the “road to nowhere” feel that currently exists on 10th Street.

Monumental Core: Recommendations

The panel recommends that the portion of Maryland Avenue between 12th Street and 9th Street be decked over in a way similar to what has been completed in front of the Mandarin Hotel. Although the decking will not be at street level, extending it will create a grand boulevard with views of the Capitol, consistent with the Legacy plan, while eliminating the openness of the rail line that security planners see as a risk. The decking will also enhance pedestrian connections and
Panel members believe that redevelopment of this section of the rail line, with its proximity to large tracts of federal land, will be best accomplished through the coordination of a large master plan project. Redevelopment of this section provides an excellent opportunity to integrate existing buildings, urban design, and street activity in a coordinated manner. Also, as these federal buildings reach the end of their useful life, redevelopment can be coordinated with the new decking level.

**Capitol Hill: Observations**

- The viability of redevelopment opportunities around the Virginia Avenue tunnel will depend on the eventual removal of the Southeast Freeway. According to DDOT, removal of the freeway will require replacing it with a vehicle tunnel from I-295/I-395 along the South Capitol Street corridor. This will enable the creation of a grand boulevard through the lower Capitol Hill area.

access to adjacent properties and begin to create opportunities for redevelopment of adjacent parcels, albeit at an elevated street level. Care must be taken to address connections to the Virginia Railway Express platform and other interfaces with other ground-level activities. Also, the decking elevation needs to decrease gradually approaching the junction with Virginia Avenue east of 9th Street.
• The value here will be created in the area along the new Virginia Avenue boulevard. However, given the small size and residential nature of the properties that line the future boulevard, the development of a truly grand boulevard lined with mixed-use buildings may take several decades to achieve.

**Capitol Hill: Recommendations**

The major action in this district is the elimination of the Southeast Freeway. A detailed corridor plan needs to be undertaken, one that closely coordinates the removal of the freeway and the design of the grand boulevard (the continuation of Virginia Avenue) that will replace it. The additional property that becomes available from the abandoned right-of-way should be transformed primarily to uses consistent with the adjacent uses, with a series of concentrated mixed-use centers along the corridor. The centers should include new residential and commercial space in mixed-use buildings.

The design of the corridor should take special care to ensure that public spaces, street design, monuments, parks, and pedestrian facilities are constructed to reconnect the neighborhoods of Capitol Hill and the southeast waterfront both physically and psychologically. Panel members believe that the removal of the rail line and the freeway will dramatically improve the view corridor from South Capitol Street to the Capitol. The city’s design
approach for a number of its corridors (H Street and Georgia Avenue, for example) should also be taken for the new Virginia Avenue.

**Anacostia Waterfront: Observations**

- Given the existing nature of the Anacostia waterfront—predominantly park land—the most viable use of the railroad right-of-way is for additional park land, to increase access to the river. Moderate-density housing and perhaps some mixed-use space could be a viable reuse but could limit the increased access to the river.

- The value created in this segment is increased access to the Anacostia waterfront, as well as elimination of the need for trail overpasses over right-of-way in the trail network.

**Anacostia Waterfront: Recommendations**

The panel recommends that the most viable reuse of the railroad right-of-way for this segment is additional park land. More park land will increase access to the river and remove barriers to investment, as well as provide a physical link now hampered by the rail line. Making it possible for people to get to the Anacostia River will be a dramatic and positive step toward the rebirth of the waterfront. The elimination of the safety and noise issues will also benefit the residential communities.

One exception is the Benning Yard area. Benning Yard provides a relatively large redevelopment...
opportunity with easy access to roadways and to the East Capitol Street corridor. New development on the Benning Yard site could result in new interest in development east of the river. The site’s waterfront views and proximity to the more economically vibrant areas of the city may offer an attractive development opportunity. The panel recommends a variety of housing-unit types, mixed-use buildings, and a central public space with an iconic use or monument that will define it to the outside world. If development is successful, it could also be a catalyst to improve investment in the neighborhood between Minnesota Avenue and the river. In the long term, the panel envisions that the corridors radiating east from the redevelopment (East Capitol Street, B Street, Ely Place) will benefit.

**East of the River: Observations**

The issue in this segment is neighborhood access to the Deanwood and Benning Road Metro stations. Reducing the required height and length of overpasses, through the removal of rail freight traffic, will reduce the cost and increase the convenience of connecting Metro stations to areas across the rail lines and Kenilworth Avenue. This in turn will increase the attractiveness of these areas for higher-density, mixed-use development that will take advantage of their proximity to the Metro stations, which they are currently not well connected to.

**East of the River: Recommendations**

The panel recommends using the abandonment of the right-of-way as a means to improve access to the Deanwood and Benning Road Metro stations for the adjacent neighborhoods. Eliminating the railroad will help bring to fruition the redevelopment of the Parkside and Eastland Gardens neighborhoods, proposed in the Anacostia Waterfront’s Ward 7 Plan.
The accomplishment of these recommendations will in large measure rely on leadership and successful coordination of multiple projects. In the panel’s opinion, the following actions should be pursued to move these recommendations forward.

**Take Advantage of the Legacy and Anacostia Waterfront Brand**

The *Extending the Legacy* plan and the *Anacostia Waterfront Initiative* are excellent brands. The recognition of these brands and their ability to attract interest and investment should not be overlooked. The abandonment and subsequent redevelopment on and near the old right-of-way should be linked closely with these two brands. The District government and the federal government should adopt appropriate statements and resolutions to this effect.

**Partnerships**

Public-private partnerships and organizations such as the Anacostia Waterfront Corporation should be used as the primary vehicles for developing the private real estate that is made available as part of the abandonment. The District government and the federal government should establish this as the standard rather than the exception as the abandonment proceeds.

**Financing**

Special financing districts, tax increment financing districts (TIFs), and similar financial vehicles should be considered as part of the overall strategy for redeveloping the right-of-way. Although one approach may work for development around the Maryland Avenue corridor, the Anacostia waterfront may require a different approach. The tools with which the public-private partnerships can implement the plans are varied. Also, the legislation needed to implement these actions must be enacted before the actions are taken, after the rail line is relocated.

**Leadership**

Carrying out the partnerships, the financing, and the integration of the *Legacy* and *Anacostia* brands will require strong and focused leadership. The panel suggests the following actions to bring about that leadership:

- Identify a lead entity that will see these projects through.
- Form an action group that can help the lead entity steer the projects. This group can take on the role of an advisory council.
- Prepare an action plan that serves as the script for the lead entity and the action group. This plan should include two elements:
  - A list of action items in response to these questions:
    - Where does the freight go?
    - What short-term projects can be completed?
    - What longer-term projects should be targeted?
    - What is the priority list for the lead entity and the action group?
  - A consolidated infrastructure plan that includes an analysis of the financing and the timing needed.
- Prepare an outline of a financing plan. Consider the following:
  - What partnerships are needed?
  - What special districts should be considered?
  - Is any special funding available from Congress?
The panelists believe that the abandonment of the freight rail line that runs through the monumental core and waterfront of Washington, D.C., provides an incredible opportunity for a variety of initiatives being pursued by the District government, the NCPC, the federal government, and other entities. Foremost is accomplishing one of the incremental steps necessary to realize the Extending the Legacy plan. The removal of the rail line also breaks down barriers and allows access to the Anacostia River, which is an underlying theme of the Anacostia Waterfront Initiative.

The panelists believe that the creation of grand boulevards along Maryland and Virginia avenues will be greatly accelerated with the abandonment of the freight rail right-of-way. The panel recommends that the city and the federal government, energized by the momentum of the abandonment, be organized and prepared to act by having a plan ready to go, to deck over the portion of Maryland Avenue between 12th and 9th Streets. The abandonment will provide redevelopment opportunities adjacent to existing structures in the L’Enfant Plaza area and reinvigorate the entire federal office area. From a more long-term perspective, the establishment of a grand boulevard, at grade, could take place in the Virginia Avenue corridor. This, of course, will require coordination with the elimination of the Southeast Freeway. The ultimate goal in this area is to eliminate the longstanding hindrance to access between Capitol Hill and the rest of the Southeast waterfront area.

Another short-term opportunity that the abandonment makes possible is the redevelopment of the Benning Yard area. The panel believes that redevelopment of this area can be a catalyst to help fuel interest in development and redevelopment east of the Anacostia River. Finally, the panel perceives that the rail abandonment will significantly improve access in the Kenilworth Avenue corridor and pedestrian access to the Deanwood and Benning Road Metro stations.

Conclusion
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